AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 U.S. Patent Application No. 09/786,553

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

In response to the Examiner's objection regarding the blocks in Fig. 1 being not properly

labeled, corrected drawings are submitted herewith wherein the blocks are provided with text

labels.

Attachment: 1 Annotated Sheet

-5-

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully requested. By this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 3, 9, 10, 12 and 13. Thus, claims 1, 2, 4-8, 11, 14 and 15 are pending in the application. Applicant respectfully submits that the pending claims define patentable subject matter.

The drawings are objected to because the Examiner maintains that the blocks in Fig. 1 are not properly labeled. By this Amendment, submitting corrected drawings wherein the blocks are provided with text labels. Accordingly, the Examiner is requested to remove the objection the drawings.

The Examiner has objected to claim 4 due to a grammatical informality. By this Amendment, Applicant has amended claim 4 as suggested by the Examiner. Accordingly, the Examiner is requested to remove the objection to claim 4.

Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang (U.S. Patent No. 5,918,184). Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Dapper et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,809,065; hereafter "Dapper"). Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Arens et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,301,364; hereafter "Arens"). Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Buternowsky et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,809,090; hereafter "Buternowsky"). Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Davidovici et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,719,898; hereafter "Davidovici"). Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/786,553

a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of

the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

By this Amendment, Applicant has amended claim 1 to incorporate the subject matter of

allowable claim 13. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 1, as

well as dependent claims 2, 4-8, 11, 14 and 15, should now be in condition for allowance and

such action is hereby solicited.

If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a

personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at

the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: May 3, 2005

Christopher R. Lipp Registration No. 41,157

Attorney Docket No.: Q63090

-7-